DEPARTMENT OF BEHAVIORAL HEALTH

Renaming of the Department and Director

- Changes the name of the Department of Mental Health and Addiction Services to the Department of Behavioral Health (DBH).
- Changes the name of the Director of Mental Health and Addiction Services to the Director of Behavioral Health.

Summary suspension of residential facilities licensed by DBH

- Allows DBH to suspend the license of a Class 1 residential facility serving children without a prior hearing for specified reasons primarily related to actual harm or the risk of harm to a child under the care and supervision of the facility.

Grounds for disciplinary action

- Consolidates the reasons for which DBH may impose disciplinary actions against facilities and service providers by allowing the actions to be taken on the same grounds at any time, either when an initial license or certification is sought or after it has been received.

Notice of adverse actions taken by other regulators

- Extends the duty to report adverse actions to DBH by also requiring reports to be made of adverse actions taken against a subsidiary of an applicant or specified associates.
- Specifies that "adverse action," in the context of which regulatory actions must be reported to DBH, does not include disciplinary actions taken by DBH itself.
- Permits DBH to impose sanctions based on adverse actions not only when it receives a required notice, but also when it otherwise becomes aware of an adverse action, as long as the action was taken in the preceding three-year period.

Subsidiaries of opioid treatment programs

- Requires a subsidiary of an opioid treatment program provider or a subsidiary of the provider's owner or sponsor to have been in good standing to operate an opioid treatment program in all other locations during the three-year period preceding application for licensure.

Certified community behavioral health clinics

- Permits DBH to establish a process and standards for the state certification of federally certified community behavioral health clinics (CCBHCs) if there is sufficient state and federal funding available.
- Requires DBH to determine, in the absence of sufficient funding to certify CCBHCs, how an integrated care approach for the provision of substance use disorder (SUD) and mental health treatment could be implemented through pilot projects or other initiatives.

Statewide mobile crisis system

- Requires DBH to coordinate with other government entities to assist with the development and implementation of a statewide system of mobile crisis services, if there is sufficient state and federal funding available.
- Requires DBH to determine, in the absence of sufficient funding for a statewide system of mobile crisis services, how pilot programs or other initiatives for mobile crisis services could be implemented.

Behavioral health block grants

- Permits DBH to use GRF for block grants that provide flexibility for ADAMHS Boards to provide harm reduction, prevention, SUD treatment, mental health treatment, recovery supports, and crisis services.
- Requires the Director of DBH to establish block grant distribution methodologies, allowable uses of block grants, and a uniform reporting structure regarding the expenditures, uses, and outcomes of the block grants.

Community innovations

- Requires the DBH Director to identify programs, projects, or systems where targeted financial investments may decrease demand for DBH services and improve outcomes for Ohioans with mental illnesses or addictions.

Pretrial behavioral health intervention pilot program

- Requires DBH, if funds are available, to establish and operate a pretrial behavioral health intervention pilot program to divert defendants with serious mental illnesses and SUDs from the criminal justice system into community-based treatment and support services.
- Requires providers selected to operate the program to screen defendants identified by local prosecutors for eligibility and develop individualized transition plans.
- Permits the dismissal or modification of criminal charges against a defendant on the defendant's successful completion of treatment.
- Requires DBH to submit a report to the Governor and relevant legislators evaluating the pilot program and making recommendations on whether the program should be continued or expanded into a statewide program.

Incompetency finding or not guilty by reason of insanity – mental health evaluations

- Eliminates the required mental health evaluation conducted by the local forensic center, but retains the required mental health evaluation conducted by DBH or other entity.
- Allows the prosecutor to request an independent evaluation of a person's mental health.
- Allows an examiner, rather than only a local forensic center, to conduct an independent evaluation of a person's mental health.

- Applies procedures for developing a recommendation and plan that previously applied to the required mental health evaluation to the permissive mental health evaluations.

Recovery housing – confidentiality of investigative materials

- Establishes confidentiality requirements regarding complaints and information received or generated by DBH in the investigation of complaints involving recovery housing residences.
- Allows for disclosure of complaint information in identified circumstances.

Patient billing for care in state-operated psychiatric hospitals

- Permits DBH to calculate the amount it bills for care in a DBH-operated hospital according to the hospital's ancillary per diem rate, if DBH determines that the ancillary per diem rate applies instead of the hospital's per diem charge.
- Requires, if a patient has health benefits that cover less than the calculated charge, that the patient (or the patient's estate or liable relatives) pay the lesser of: (1) the balance that remains or (2) the amount that applies after DBH takes into consideration the patient's eligibility for existing discounts and other payment reductions.

Behavioral Health Drug Reimbursement Program

- Changes the funding model used by the Behavioral Health Drug Reimbursement Program from one that is solely reimbursement to one of financial assistance.

Renaming of the Department and Director

(R.C. 121.02 and 5119.011; conforming changes in numerous R.C. sections)

The bill changes the name of the Department of Mental Health and Addiction Services to the Department of Behavioral Health (DBH). In turn, the Director of Mental Health and Addiction Services is renamed the Director of Behavioral Health.

Whenever the Department or Director of Mental Health and Addiction Services is referred to or designated in any statute, rule, contract, grant, or other document, the bill requires that the reference or designation be construed as the Department or Director of Behavioral Health, respectively.

This analysis will use the proposed new names, and their corresponding acronyms, when referencing the Department or Director. This applies to discussions of both current law and provisions of the bill.

Summary suspension of residential facilities licensed by DBH

(R.C. 5119.34 and 5119.344)

The bill allows DBH to suspend the license of a Class 1 residential facility that serves children without a prior hearing. Under existing law, unchanged by the bill, a Class 1 residential facility provides accommodations, supervision, and personal care services for one or more

unrelated adults with mental illness or one or more unrelated children or adolescents with severe emotional disturbances.[13]

The bill specifies the following as circumstances for suspension:

- A child suffers a serious injury or dies while residing in the residential facility.
- DBH, a public children services agency (PCSA), or a county department of job and family services determines that a principal, employee, volunteer, or nonresident occupant of the residential facility created a serious risk to the health or safety of a child residing in the facility that resulted in or could have resulted in a child's death or injury.
- A principal, employee, resident, volunteer, or nonresident occupant of the facility was charged by an indictment, information, or complaint with an offense relating to the death, injury, or sexual assault of another person that occurred on facility premises.
- A principal, employee, volunteer, or nonresident occupant of the facility was charged by an indictment, information, or complaint with an offense relating to the death, injury, or sexual assault of a child residing in the facility.
- A PCSA receives a report of abuse or neglect and the person alleged to have inflicted abuse or neglect on the child and is the subject of the report is either of the following:
  - A principal of the residential facility;
  - An employee of the residential facility who has not been immediately placed on administrative leave or released from employment.
- The residential facility is not in compliance with administrative rules pertaining to background investigations for owners, operators, employees, and other specified individuals.

The bill defines a "principal" as an owner, operator, or manager of a Class 1 residential facility.

If DBH suspends a license without a prior hearing, the agency must comply with existing law notice requirements, and the owner of the facility may request an adjudicatory hearing. Notice and hearing must be conducted pursuant to the Administrative Procedure Act. If a hearing is requested and DBH does not issue its final adjudication order within 120 days after the suspension, the suspension is void on the 121st day, unless the hearing is continued on agreement by the parties or for good cause.

A summary suspension remains in effect until any of the following occurs:

- The PCSA completes its investigation of the report of abuse and neglect and determines that all of the allegations are unsubstantiated.
- All criminal charges are disposed of through dismissal or a finding of not guilty.

---

[13] R.C. 5119.34(B)(1)(a).

- DBH issues a final order terminating the suspension in accordance with the Administrative Procedure Act.

The bill prohibits a residential facility from placing children in the facility while a summary suspension remains in effect. Upon issuing the order of suspension, DBH must place a hold on the facility's license or indicate that the license is suspended in the Statewide Automated Child Welfare Information System.

The bill allows the DBH Director to adopt rules in accordance with the Administrative Procedure Act to establish standards and procedures for the summary suspension of licenses. The bill also specifies that these provisions do not limit DBH's authority to take other actions, such as issuing an order suspending the admission of residents to a residential facility, refusing to issue or renew a license for a facility, or revoking a facility's license under existing law adjudication procedures.

Grounds for disciplinary action

(R.C. 5119.33, 5119.34, 5119.36, and 5119.99)

Current law permits DBH to issue a license to operate a hospital for the treatment of persons with mental illness or a residential facility, or to issue a certificate for certifiable services and supports, if the applicant can demonstrate the availability of adequate staff and equipment and DBH has not been notified or is not otherwise aware of relevant adverse action taken against the applicant or certain associates of the applicant. Instead, the bill consolidates this requirement with other existing disciplinary provisions to allow DBH to deny, refuse to renew, or revoke a license for the aforementioned reasons.

Notice of adverse actions taken by other regulators

(R.C. 5119.33, 5119.334, 5119.34, 5119.343, 5119.36, and 5119.367)

When submitting an application for initial or renewed hospital licensure, residential facility licensure, or certifiable services and supports certification, an applicant is currently required to notify DBH of any adverse action taken against a specified entity or associate of the applicant within the preceding three years. For hospital licensure this includes the hospital and any owner, sponsor, medical director, administrator, or principal of the hospital. For residential facility licensure this includes the residential facility and any owner, operator, or manager of the facility. For certifiable services and supports certification, this includes the applicant and any owner or principal of the applicant.

The bill extends this requirement to also include the reporting of adverse action taken within three years against any subsidiary of a hospital, owner, or sponsor; residential facility, owner, or operator; or applicant or owner for hospitals, residential facilities, and certifiable services and supports respectively. The bill also specifies that adverse action taken by DBH is not included in the reporting requirement, as DBH would already have a record of the action.

Current law permits DBH to refuse to issue a license or certification if adverse action was taken during the three-year period immediately preceding the date of application. The bill expands the potential to act on adverse action by allowing DBH to refuse to issue, refuse to

renew, or revoke a license for adverse action taken during the three-year period immediately preceding the date of notification or date of becoming aware of the adverse action.

Subsidiaries of opioid treatment programs

(R.C. 5119.37)

Current law requires a provider seeking a license to operate an opioid treatment program and any owner, sponsor, medical director, administrator, or principal of the provider to have been in good standing to operate an opioid treatment program in all other program locations during the three-year period preceding the date of application. The bill additionally requires a subsidiary of the provider or a subsidiary of the provider's owner or sponsor to have been in good standing to operate an opioid treatment program for that time period.

Certified community behavioral health clinics

(R.C. 5119.211; Section 337.200)

The bill permits DBH to establish a process and standards for the state certification of federally certified community behavioral health clinics (CCBHCs). CCBHCs are designed to ensure access to coordinated comprehensive behavioral health care. CCBHCs provide 24/7 crisis services, comprehensive behavioral health services that help people avoid seeking support across multiple providers, and care coordination that helps people navigate behavioral health care, physical health care, and social services.

If DBH begins certifying CCBHCs, the Department may coordinate with local, state, and federal government entities for the development and establishment of the clinics. The DBH Director may adopt rules as necessary for the certification of CCBHCs.

DBH may certify CCBHCs only if there is adequate state and federal funding available. If funding is insufficient for the certification of CCBHCs, DBH must determine whether and to what extent pilot projects or other initiatives could be implemented to support an integrated care approach for the provision of substance use disorder (SUD) and mental health treatment.

Statewide mobile crisis system

(Section 337.190)

The bill requires DBH to work with local, state, and federal government entities to develop and implement a statewide system of mobile crisis services for adults and children. The development of this statewide system is contingent on the availability of state and federal funding. If there is not sufficient funding for a full system, DBH must determine how pilot projects or other initiatives for the provision of mobile crisis services could be implemented.

Behavioral health block grants

(Section 337.20)

The bill permits DBH to use GRF for the creation of block grants for boards of alcohol, drug addiction, and mental health services (ADAMHS boards). The block grants are intended to provide flexibility for ADAMHS boards to disburse funds to behavioral health providers to provide harm reduction, prevention, SUD treatment, mental health treatment, recovery supports, and

crisis services in local communities. There are six separate block grants that may be created, and the Director of DBH is responsible for establishing allowable uses for each type of block grant. The six types of block grants and suggested allowable uses are presented in the table below.

Behavioral health block grants		
Block grant	**Purpose**	**Suggested allowable uses**
Prevention State Block Grant	Provision of evidence-based or evidence-informed early intervention, suicide prevention, and other prevention services.	▪ Prevention across the lifespan; ▪ Suicide prevention across the lifespan; ▪ Early intervention; ▪ Cross-system collaboration to address prevention needs in the community.
Crisis Services State Block Grant	Provision of crisis services and supports.	▪ Substance use and mental health crisis stabilization centers; ▪ Crisis stabilization and crisis prevention services and supports; ▪ Cross-systems collaborative efforts to address crisis services needs in the community.
Mental Health State Block Grant	Provision of mental health services and recovery supports.	▪ Mental health services, including the treatment of indigent mentally ill persons subject to court order in hospitals or inpatient units; ▪ Cross-system collaborative efforts to serve adults with serious mental illnesses who are involved in multiple human services or criminal justice systems; ▪ Other initiatives designed to address mental health needs.
Substance Use Disorder State Block Grant	Provision of alcohol and drug addiction services and recovery supports.	▪ Initiatives concerning alcohol and drug addiction services; ▪ Substance use stabilization centers; ▪ Cross-system collaborative efforts to address SUD needs in the community.
Recovery Supports State Block Grant	Provision of recovery supports.	▪ Subsidized support to meet the psychotropic and SUD treatment medication needs of indigent citizens in

Behavioral health block grants		
Block grant	**Purpose**	**Suggested allowable uses**
		the community to reduce unnecessary hospitalization due to lack of medication; ▪ Peer support; ▪ Operational expenses and minor facility improvements for class two and class three residential facilities and recovery housing residences; ▪ Community integration supports; ▪ Cross-system collaborative efforts to address recovery support needs in the community.
Criminal Justice State Block Grant	Provision of services and supports to incarcerated individuals and individuals being discharged from prisons and jails.	▪ Medication-assisted treatment (MAT) and treatment involving drugs used in withdrawal management or detoxification; ▪ Community reintegration supports; ▪ SUD treatment and mental health treatment, including the provision of such treatment as an alternative to incarceration, as well as recovery supports; ▪ Forensic monitoring and tracking of individuals on condition release; ▪ Forensic and crisis response training; ▪ Projects that assist courts and law enforcement in identifying and developing appropriate alternative services to incarceration for nonviolent offenders with mental illnesses; ▪ Services to incarcerated individuals with SUD, severe mental illness, or both, including screening and clinically appropriate treatment; ▪ Linkages to, and the provision of, SUD treatment, mental health treatment, recovery supports, and specialized re-entry services for incarcerated individuals leaving prisons and jails;

Behavioral health block grants		
Block grant	**Purpose**	**Suggested allowable uses**
		▪ Support of specialized dockets, including the expansion of existing MAT drug court programs, the creation of new MAT drug court programs, and assistance with the administrative expenses of participating courts, community addiction services providers, and community mental health services providers; ▪ Cross-system collaborative efforts to address the needs of individuals involved in the criminal justice system.

The DBH Director is responsible for creating methodologies to guide the distribution of block grant funds to ADAMHS Boards. The Director must consider population indicators, poverty rates, health workforce shortage statistics, relevant emerging behavioral health trends, and the amount of FY 2025 awards made to each ADAMHS Board for related programs.

The Director must also create a uniform reporting structure to track the expenditures, uses, and outcomes of the block grants. The data must be made available in accordance with Ohio data governance best practices and federal and state security standards.

Community innovations

(Section 337.100)

The bill requires the DBH Director to evaluate programs, projects, or systems operated at least partly outside of the Department where a targeted financial investment is expected to decrease demand for DBH or other state resources or measurably improve outcomes for Ohioans with mental illnesses or addictions. The Director is responsible for selecting private not-for-profit entities to receive funds. Each recipient must enter into an agreement with DBH identifying allowable expenditures of funds, other commitment of funds or other resources, expected state savings or improved outcomes and the proposed mechanisms for such savings or outcomes, and required reporting regarding expenditures and outcomes.

Additional funds are appropriated to support workforce development initiatives, provide behavioral health access and opportunities, support peer-run organizations, and coordinate care across the behavioral health continuum.

Pretrial behavioral health intervention pilot program

(Sections 337.50 and 751.10)

If necessary funds are available, the bill requires DBH to establish and operate a pretrial behavioral health intervention pilot program. The Department of Rehabilitation and Correction must assist with the pilot program at the request of DBH. The pilot program is intended to divert

defendants who are booked in jails and have serious co-occurring mental illnesses and SUDs from the criminal justice system into community-based treatment and support services. The overarching goal is to reduce criminal justice recidivism and improve behavioral health outcomes for participants.

The DBH Director must choose up to three areas of the state to operate the pilot program and specify eligibility criteria for defendants' participation. The Director may use a competitive bidding process to select one or more community mental health services providers or community addiction services providers to operate components of the program.

The first component of the pilot program is an initial screening process, where defendants identified by local prosecutors are evaluated for signs and symptoms of serious mental illnesses and co-occurring SUDs. Next, each defendant undergoes a medical screening process to determine if medical contraindications exist to the defendant participating in the program. Each eligible defendant is given an individualized treatment plan aimed at reducing criminal justice recidivism and improving psychiatric outcomes, recovery, and community integration. A defendant's progress must be monitored throughout the program and periodically reported to the relevant court. After a treatment and stabilization period, charges against the defendant may be dismissed or modified if the defendant successfully completed treatment and other elements of the individualized transition plan. DBH may implement additional program components and may adopt rules as necessary to implement the pilot program.

Before admitting a defendant to the pilot program, the defendant must be informed of the program's purpose and the consequences of not complying with the transition plan, including treatment. The defendant must agree in writing to participate in the program and sign a consent for release of records, including SUD patient records, if applicable.

The pilot program must begin by October 1, 2026, and it concludes on June 30, 2029. By March 1, 2029, the DBH Director must submit a report to the Governor, the Speaker of the House of Representatives, the President of the Senate, and the chairpersons of the committees of each house with responsibility for behavioral health care and criminal justice policy. The report must include an evaluation of the pilot program and recommendations on whether the program should be continued or expanded into a statewide program.

Incompetency finding or not guilty by reason of insanity – mental health evaluations

Required mental health evaluation by DBH

(R.C. 2945.401)

Under current law unchanged by the bill, a defendant or person may be committed to DBH or an institution, facility, or program because the person is incompetent to stand trial, is a person with a mental illness subject to a court order, or is a person with an intellectual disability subject to institutionalization by a court order. DBH or the institution, facility, or program to which the defendant or person has been committed must report in writing to the trial court as to whether the person remains incompetent to stand trial, a person with a mental illness subject to a court order, or a person with an intellectual disability subject to institutionalization by a court

order. DBH or the institution, facility, or program must make the written reports after the initial six months of treatment and every two years after the initial report is made. Within 30 days after receipt of the written report from DBH or the institution, facility, or program, the trial court must hold a hearing on the continued commitment of the defendant or person.

Permissive mental health evaluation by examiner

(R.C. 2945.401)

Request for evaluation by prosecutor

The bill eliminates the requirement that the local forensic center conduct an evaluation of the defendant or person, but retains provisions that require the MHA or institution, facility, or program to submit a written report to the trial court (see "**Required mental health evaluation by DBH**" above) and that allow the prosecutor to request an independent evaluation of the defendant's or person's mental condition. The bill also allows any "examiner" to evaluate the defendant's or person's mental condition, rather than only a local forensic center (see below).

The bill provides that if the MHA's designee recommends termination of the defendant's or person's commitment or the first of any nonsecured status, DBH's designee must send notice of the recommendation to the trial court. Upon receiving notice of the hearing, the prosecutor may request an independent evaluation of the defendant's mental condition. If the prosecutor requests an independent evaluation of the defendant's or person's mental condition, the trial court must order an evaluation of the defendant's or person's mental condition. The trial court must send an examiner a copy of the order for the evaluation and the written notice of the recommendation of DBH's designee and notify the examiner of the hearing.

Under current law, DBH's designee must send notice of the recommendation to the trial court and to the local forensic center. The local forensic center must evaluate the defendant or person. In addition to the required evaluation by the local forensic center, the prosecutor may obtain an independent evaluation of the defendant's or person's mental condition.

The bill uses the current law definition of "examiner" which means either of the following:[14]

- A psychiatrist or licensed clinical psychologist who satisfies specified license criteria or who is employed by a certified forensic center designated by DBH to conduct examinations or evaluations;
- For purposes of a separate intellectual disability evaluation that is ordered by a court in specified circumstances, a psychologist designated by the Director of Developmental Disabilities to conduct that separate intellectual disability evaluation.

---

[14] R.C. 2945.37, not in the bill.

Evaluation, recommendation, and plan

The bill applies procedures for developing a recommendation and plan that previously applied to the required mental health evaluations by a local forensic center to the permissive mental health evaluations by an examiner. The bill also removes a requirement that DBH's designee must work or consult with community health boards in developing a recommendation and plan.

If the prosecutor requests an independent evaluation of the defendant's or person's mental condition, the bill requires the examiner (previously local forensic center) to submit to the trial court and DBH's designee a written report of the evaluation. The written report must be submitted within 30 days after the examiner receives the order and written notice. The trial court must provide a copy of DBH's designee's written notice and of the examiner's (previously local forensic center's) submission of the report to the prosecutor and to counsel for the defendant or person. Upon the examiner's (previously local forensic center's) submission of the report to the trial court and DBH's designee, all of the following apply:

1. If the examiner (previously forensic center) disagrees with the recommendation of DBH's designee, it must inform DBH's designee and the trial court of its decision and reasons for the decision. DBH's designee, after consideration of the examiner's (previously forensic center's) decision, must either withdraw, proceed with, or modify and proceed with the recommendation. If DBH's designee proceeds with, or modifies and proceeds with, the recommendation, DBH's designee must proceed according to (3) below.

2. If the examiner (previously forensic center) agrees with the recommendation of DBH's designee, it must inform DBH's designee and the trial court of its decision and reasons for the decision, and DBH's designee must proceed according to (3) below.

3. If the examiner (previously forensic center) disagrees with the recommendation of DBH's designee and DBH's designee proceeds with or modifies and proceeds with, the recommendation or if the examiner (previously forensic center) agrees with the recommendation of DBH's designee, DBH's designee must work with the community mental health services providers, programs, facilities, boards of alcohol, drug addiction, and mental health services (previously included community mental health boards) to develop a plan to implement the recommendation. If the defendant or person is on medication, the plan must include a system to monitor the defendant's or person's compliance with the prescribed medication treatment plan. The system must include a schedule that clearly states when the defendant or person must report for a medication compliance check. The medication compliance checks must be based upon the effective duration of the prescribed medication, taking into account the route by which it is taken, and must be scheduled at intervals sufficiently close together to detect a potential increase in mental illness symptoms that the medication is intended to prevent.

DBH's designee, after consultation with the board of alcohol, drug addiction, and mental health services serving the area, must send the recommendation and plan developed in (3) above, in writing, to the trial court, prosecutor, and counsel for the defendant or person.

Hearing

The bill clarifies that the trial court must set a date for the hearing not later than 30 days after the date that the trial court receives the written notice. The trial court must notify the prosecutor and counsel for the defendant or person of the hearing. The bill allows the trial court to continue the hearing for the independent evaluation requested by the prosecutor or for other good cause.

If the prosecutor does request an independent evaluation of the defendant's or person's mental condition, the bill requires the trial court to conduct a hearing on the recommendation and plan (see "**Evaluation, recommendation, and plan**" above).

If the prosecutor does not request an independent evaluation of the defendant's or person's mental condition, the bill requires the trial court to hold the hearing on DBH's designee's recommendation and consider DBH's or the institution's, facility's, or program's most recent written report.

Under current law, the trial court must schedule a hearing on DBH's designee's recommendation for termination of commitment or nonsecured status and give reasonable notice to the prosecutor and counsel for the defendant or person. Unless continued for independent evaluation at the prosecutor's request or for other good cause, the hearing must be held within 30 days after the trial court's receipt of the recommendation and plan.

Evidence

The bill clarifies that the prosecutor may introduce the written report of the independent evaluation or present other evidence at the hearing in accordance with the Rules of Evidence. Under current law, the prosecutor may introduce the evaluation report or present other evidence at the hearing in accordance with the Rules of Evidence.

Recovery housing – confidentiality of investigative materials

(R.C. 5119.393 and 5119.394)

The bill establishes confidentiality requirements regarding complaints and information received or generated by DBH or its contractors during the investigation of complaints involving recovery housing residences. Complaints and information determined to be confidential under the bill are not considered public records, are exempt from the laws governing state and local agencies' personal information systems (R.C. Chapter 1347), and are not subject to discovery in any civil action.

Confidential complaints and information may be disclosed in the following circumstances:

- When required by law;
- When shared with other regulatory agencies or officers;
- When admitted into evidence in a criminal trial or administrative hearing if appropriate measures are taken to ensure confidentiality; and
- When included by reference as part of DBH's registry of recovery housing residences, as long as DBH makes its best effort to protect confidentiality.

Patient billing for care in state-operated psychiatric hospitals

Calculation of base charge

(R.C. 5121.33; conforming changes in R.C. 5121.30, 5121.32, 5121.34, and 5121.41)

Regarding the methodology that DBH follows in determining how much a patient, patient's estate, and liable relative must be charged for each day of care and treatment received in a DBH-operated hospital for mental illnesses, the bill makes the following modifications:

- Allows the amount to be calculated by multiplying the number of days of admission by whichever of the following DBH determines applies: the hospital's per diem charge or its ancillary per diem rate. (Current law requires DBH to use only the per diem charge when making the calculation. DBH must determine both types of rates, but the ancillary rate is currently used only when calculating the discounted charges for care provided beyond 30 days to patients with incomes between 175% and 400% of the federal poverty level.)
- Removes the requirement to add any unpaid amounts to the charges calculated for each billing cycle. (The collection of delinquent payments is accounted for in a separate provision of current law.[15])

Coordination with health benefits

(R.C. 5121.43)

Regarding a patient in a DBH-operated hospital who has a health insurance policy or contract with coverage of hospital-based mental health services, the bill maintains the duty of the patient to assign to DBH all payments that may be received for care and treatment in the hospital. Current law, however, does not expressly address what occurs if the payments received through health benefits do not cover the full amount that DBH calculates as the hospital's base charge, as described above.

Under the bill, if the amount received through health benefits is less than DBH's calculated base charge, the patient (or the patient's estate or liable relatives) must pay the lesser of the following:

- The amount of the base charge that remains after subtracting the amount received through health benefits;
- The amount of the base charge that applies after DBH takes into consideration any of the discounts and other payment reductions that may be offered under existing law to a patient, according to a financial assessment of the patient's assets and annual income.

The bill eliminates a corresponding provision under which a patient with health benefits is ineligible for DBH's discounts and other payment reductions while the patient's insurance policy or other contract is in force.

[15] See R.C. 5121.45, not in the bill.

Behavioral Health Drug Reimbursement Program

(R.C. 5119.19)

DBH operates the Behavioral Health Drug Reimbursement Program, which provides state funds to counties for the cost of certain drugs provided to inmates of county jails, including psychotropic drugs, drugs used in medication-assisted treatment, and drugs used in withdrawal management or detoxification. The bill changes the program's funding model, which is currently limited to a system of reimbursement. The bill, instead, authorizes a model of financial assistance, where allocations of state funds may be provided either before or after the cost of the drugs is incurred.