| Local Government Provisions | | Main Operating Appropriations Bill H.B. 110 |
|---|--|--|
| Executive | As Passed By House | In Senate Finance |
| LOCCD22 Dishonesty and faithful performance of duty policy | | |
| | R.C. 3.061, 940.05 | |
| No provision. | Authorizes a soil and water conservation district to use an "employee dishonesty and faithful performance of duty policy" in lieu of surety bonds for all officers, employees, and appointees that are required by law to give a bond. (Certain political subdivisions have this authority under current law.) | No provision. |
| | Fiscal effect: Gives SWCDs another option for insuring against officials and employees found to have mishandled SWCD funds. | |
| LOCCD1 Jurisdiction for appeals | | |
| R.C. 119.12 | R.C. 119.12 | |
| Establishes the Franklin County Court of Common Pleas as the jurisdiction for all appeals from orders issued by the Occupational Therapy, Physical Therapy, and Athletic Trainers Board (instead of the court of common pleas of the licensee's county of residence or of the county in which the licensee's place of business is located). | Same as the Executive. | No provision. |
| Fiscal effect: Possible increase in expenses related to additional cases in the Franklin County Court of Common Pleas, with some offsetting revenue in the form of court costs and fees. Corresponding decrease in expenses and offsetting revenue in the court of common pleas that would have otherwise heard such an appeal. Potential savings effect for the Board, as staff would not have to travel and defend action(s) in another county. | Fiscal effect: Same as the Executive. | |

| Local Government Provisions | | Main Operating Appropriations Bill H.B. 110 |
|--|---|--|
| Executive | As Passed By House | In Senate Finance |
| LOCCD3 Political subdivision purchases through DAS | | |
| R.C. 125.04, 3501.302 | R.C. 125.04, 3501.302 | R.C. 125.04, 3501.302 |
| Authorizes DAS to permit political subdivisions of another state to participate in DAS contracts for the purchase of supplies and services. | Same as the Executive. | Same as the Executive. |
| Allows a county board of elections to participate in DAS contracts for the purchase of supplies and services if DAS has authorized that county to participate in those contracts, rather than making the board apply separately. | Same as the Executive. | Same as the Executive. |
| Clarifies that a county board of elections is permitted to purchase election supplies through DAS's cooperative purchasing program, through the Secretary of State's bulk purchasing program, or through other means. | Same as the Executive. | Same as the Executive. |
| Fiscal effect: Provides county boards of elections purchasing flexibility that would allow the boards to acquire supplies at a lower cost in some instances. | Fiscal effect: Same as the Executive. | Fiscal effect: Same as the Executive. |
| LOCCD23 Attorneys fees and costs in inverse condemnation proce | edings | |
| | R.C. 163.62, Section 701.50 | R.C. 163.62, Section 701.50 |
| No provision. | Requires courts in inverse condemnation (a variation of the eminent domain concept where the suit is initiated by the property owner) proceedings to award amounts sufficient to reimburse a property owner for reasonable expenses in the proceeding if (1) the property owner is successful in the proceeding, or (2) reaches a settlement. | Same as the House. |
| | Fiscal effect: Potentially increases the costs to applicable state agencies or local governments related to eminent domain actions. | Fiscal effect: Same as the House. |

| Local Government Provisions | | Main Operating Appropriations Bill H.B. 110 |
|--|---------------------------|--|
| Executive | As Passed By House | In Senate Finance |
| LOCCD29 Auxiliary container restrictions | | |
| | | R.C. 301.30, 504.04, 715.013, 3736.01, and 3736.021 |
| No provision. | No provision. | Makes permanent the following provisions from H.B. 242 of the 133rd G.A., which are set to expire on January 15, 2022: (1) prohibits a local government from imposing a tax, fee, assessment or other charge on auxiliary containers, the sale or consumption of auxiliary containers, or on the basis of receipts received from the sale of auxiliary containers; (2) authorizes a person to use an auxiliary container for purposes of commerce or otherwise; and (3) clarifies that existing law prohibiting the improper deposit of litter applies to auxiliary containers under the state anti-littering law. |
| | | Fiscal effect: Permanently bars political subdivisions from enacting auxiliary container ordinances after the current prohibition expires. |
| LOCCD34 Developer requirements for certain | new community authorities | |
| | | R.C. 349.01 |
| No provision. | No provision. | Specifies that a person controlling land pursuant to certain 99-year renewable leases qualifies as a developer eligible to form a new community authority (NCA), which may carry out development and management activities respecting such property and levy development charges to fund the NCA's activities, provided that developer establishes the NCA on or before December 31, 2021. (Continuing law qualifies developers that control property pursuant to a lease of at least a 75-year term.) |

| Local Government Provisions | Local Government Provisions Main Operating Appropria | |
|--|--|--|
| Executive | As Passed By House | H.B. 110 In Senate Finance |
| LOCCD35 Tourism development districts | | |
| | | R.C. 503.56, 715.014; Section 803.120 |
| No provision. | No provision. | Clarifies that a municipality or township may enlarge the territory of an existing Tourism Development District (TDD) after December 31, 2020, the deadline under continuing law for creating a new TDD. |
| | | Fiscal effect: Allows for municipal corporations to assess fees on property owners within a TDD if the TDD is being enlarged. |
| LOCCD28 Liens for unpaid municipal garbage | collection | |
| | | R.C. 701.10 |
| No provision. | No provision. | Allows a municipal corporation to place as a lien on property the amount of unpaid garbage/trash collection charges, when the unpaid amount is greater than the annual charge for the services, in addition to the ability to do so when the unpaid amount is at least \$250 as under current law. |
| No provision. | No provision. | Specifies the limitations above do not apply to a municipal corporation that collects all garbage/trash charges (not only unpaid amounts) via the tax list. |
| | | Fiscal effect: Provides for an additional way to recoup unpaid waste collection fees. |

| Local Government Provisions | | Main Operating Appropriations Bill H.B. 110 |
|---|---|--|
| Executive | As Passed By House | In Senate Finance |
| LOCCD21 Acceptance of financial transaction | devices by soil and water conservation districts | |
| | R.C. 940.111 | |
| No provision. | Establishes procedures by which a soil and water conservation district (SWCD) may accept financial transaction devices (including credit cards and debit cards) for payment of certain district goods and services, including designating the county treasurer as the SWCD's agent for soliciting proposals from issuers, recommending proposals to the SWCD, and implementing the SWCD's financial transaction devices program. Allows the county treasurer to opt out of this responsibility. | No provision. |
| No provision. | Allows the SWCD to administer the program if the county treasurer fails to implement the financial transaction device program according to the SWCD's plan under the resolution authorizing such a program, and fails to correct deficiencies within six months after being notified by the board of the SWCD. | No provision. |
| | Fiscal effect: Minimal cost for SWCD to establish procedures. | |
| LOCCD15 Agreements with animal shelters | | |
| | R.C. 955.15 | R.C. 955.15 |
| No provision. | Expands the types of entities with which a board of county commissioners may enter into a written agreement to operate as a dog pound on behalf of the county to include an animal shelter that (1) is suitable to act as a dog pound, and (2) maintains devices for humanely destroying dogs. (Current law allows such agreements only with humane societies that operate animal shelters.) | Same as the House. |
| | Fiscal effect: Gives counties flexibility to select other animal shelter operators to serve as dog pounds, the costs of which | Fiscal effect: Same as the House. |
| | | |

| Local Government Provisions | | Main Operating Appropriations Bill H.B. 110 |
|--|--|--|
| Executive | As Passed By House | In Senate Finance |
| | are paid from county dog and kennel funds. | |
| LOCCD18 Shoreline improvement district project expansion | | |
| | R.C. 1710.01 | R.C. 1710.01 |
| No provision. | Allows a special improvement district to fund projects, including by assessing property within the district, to abate soil erosion along waters within a watershed district. | Same as the House. |
| | Fiscal effect: Provides an additional funding mechanism to undertake soil erosion abatement projects within watershed districts. | Fiscal effect: Same as the House. |
| LOCCD30 Lima Municipal Court Clerk | | |
| | | R.C. 1901.31 |
| No provision. | No provision. | Specifies that the Lima Municipal Court Clerk is an elected position and includes the Lima Municipal Court Clerk within the current law procedure that applies for filling a vacancy in that elected office. |
| LOCCD16 Jefferson County County Court | | |
| | R.C. 1907.15, Section 812.10 | R.C. 1907.15, Section 812.10 |
| No provision. | Removes, effective January 1, 2022, the requirement that the presiding judge of the Jefferson County Court determine areas of separate jurisdiction for the judges of that Court and that the judges hold court in Wintersville or Cross Creek, Dillonvale, and Toronto. | Same as the House. |
| | Fiscal effect: Potential cost savings for the Jefferson County County Court. | Fiscal effect: Same as the House. |

| Local Government Provisions | | Main Operating Appropriations Bill H.B. 110 |
|--|--------------------|---|
| Executive | As Passed By House | In Senate Finance |
| LOCCD27 Court order to interview and examine a child | | |
| | | R.C. 2151.25, 2151.23 |
| (1) No provision. | (1) No provision. | (1) Allows a juvenile court, if it determines probable cause exists, to issue an order, without a hearing, authorizing a public children services agency (PCSA) to interview or examine a child who may be abused, neglected, or dependent if the child's parent, guardian, custodian, or caretaker refuses the PCSA reasonable access to the child |
| (2) No provision. | (2) No provision. | (2) Requires that a PCSA request the order and submit a sworn affidavit detailing the facts that would support the order. |
| (3) No provision. | (3) No provision. | (3) Specifies that the order is not a final, appealable order, which means that the order may not be reviewed, affirmed, modified, or reversed, with or without trial. |
| | | Fiscal effect: Minimal. |
| LOCCD4 Protection orders | | |
| R.C. 2151.34, 2903.213, 2903.214, 2919.26, 3113.31 | | |
| (1) Requires a law enforcement agency, upon notification by the clerk of court, to enter any juvenile court protection orders, temporary protection orders (related to a criminal offense), and civil protection orders into the Law Enforcement Automated Data System (LEADS) and the National Crime Information Center (NCIC) by the close of the next business day after the day on which the court issues the order. | (1) No provision. | (1) No provision. |
| (2) Requires, upon the termination or cancellation of the order and notification by the clerk of court, a local law enforcement agency to remove the order from LEADS by the close of the next business day after the day on which the | (2) No provision. | (2) No provision. |

| Local Government Provisions | | Main Operating Appropriations Bill H.B. 110 |
|---|--------------------|--|
| Executive | As Passed By House | In Senate Finance |
| termination or cancellation of the order occurred and that it be ensured that the order is terminated, cleared, or canceled in the protection order database of the NCIC. | | |
| Fiscal effect: Potential increase in administrative expenses for the clerks of court to notify law enforcement of orders issued and canceled, and for law enforcement agencies to enter and remove such orders within the time limit set. | | |
| LOCCD25 Exemption of chief probation officers from county classi | fied civil service | |
| | | R.C. 2301.27 |
| No provision. | No provision. | Exempts a county department chief probation officer from the county's classified civil service, thus placing the officer in the unclassified service. |
| | | Fiscal effect: This may change the person's annual pay, either up or down, depending on what the salary is in the unclassified service. |
| LOCCD24 Clerk of courts endorsement | | |
| | | R.C. 2303.05 |
| No provision. | No provision. | Requires that the appointments of deputies to a clerk of court of common pleas be endorsed by the clerk, rather than that such appointments be in writing. |
| | | Fiscal effect: None. |

| Local Government Provisions | | Main Operating Appropriations Bill H.B. 110 | |
|--|---------------------------------------|--|--|
| Executive | As Passed By House | In Senate Finance | |
| LOCCD7 Notification of possible prison term for community cont | trol violation | | |
| R.C. 2929.15, 2929.19 | R.C. 2929.15, 2929.19 | R.C. 2929.15, 2929.19 | |
| Changes the law that requires a court sentencing an offender to a community control sanction for a felony to notify the offender of the possible prison term that may be imposed if the offender violates the sanction, violates a law, or leaves the state without permission from an indication of "the specific prison term that may be imposed" to an indication of the "range from which the prison term may be imposed," which must be the range of terms available for the offense. | Same as the Executive. | Same as the Executive. | |
| Fiscal effect: None. | Fiscal effect: Same as the Executive. | Fiscal effect: Same as the Executive. | |

administrator, who is the Superintendent of the Ohio State

Highway Patrol under continuing law.

| Local Government Provisions | | Main Operating Appropriations Bill H.B. 110 |
|--|---------------------------------------|---|
| Executive | As Passed By House | In Senate Finance |
| Fiscal effect: Potential increase in administrative expenses for courts to notify the Attorney General of orders issued and canceled and for the Attorney General to enter and remove such orders within the time limit and to make the necessary forms available to judges. | | |
| LOCCD8 Sealing of records related to an unconditional pardon | | |
| R.C. 2967.04 | R.C. 2967.04 | R.C. 2967.04 |
| Allows the Governor to include as a condition of an unconditional pardon that the records related to conviction be sealed and generally provides that the records are not subject to public inspection. | Same as the Executive. | Same as the Executive, but: (1) specifies that a writ issued by the Governor for the sealing of records related to an unconditional pardon does not impact any reports that are required to be made under law, and (2) makes clarifying changes. |
| Fiscal effect: Increased administrative expenses for any state or local agency to seal related files and a potential decrease in pardon-related hearing costs for local courts that may have been the venue for a record sealing application in lieu of this procedure. | Fiscal effect: Same as the Executive. | Fiscal effect: Same as the Executive. |
| LOCCD32 Partisan designation for judicial candidates | | |
| | | R.C. 3501.01, 3505.03, 3505.04, 3513.257 |
| No provision. | No provision. | Requires a candidate for Chief Justice, Justice of the Ohio Supreme Court, or judge of a court of appeals who was nominated at a primary election to appear on the ballot at the general election with a political party designation. Changes the placement of these races on the ballot. |
| | | Fiscal effect: None. |

| Local Government Provisions H.B. 1 | | |
|---|--|---|
| Executive | As Passed By House | In Senate Finance |
| LOCCD17 Indigent Drivers Alcohol Treatment Fund | | |
| | R.C. 4511.191 | R.C. 4511.191 |
| No provision. | Expands the authorized uses a court may make of surplus money in an Indigent Drivers Alcohol Treatment Fund to allow, in addition to the currently authorized uses, expenditures for staffing, equipment, training, drug testing, supplies, and other expenses of any specialized docket program certified by the Supreme Court. | Same as the House. |
| | Fiscal effect: Potential expenditure increase, up to available revenue. | Fiscal effect: Same as the House. |
| LOCCD31 Discriminatory restrictive covenants | | |
| | | R.C. 5301.05 |
| No provision. | No provision. | Declares void discriminatory covenants in deeds limiting the transfer or lease of real property to individuals against whom discrimination is prohibited under Ohio Civil Rights Law. |
| No provision. | No provision. | Allows attorneys preparing new deeds to omit discriminatory restrictive covenants that are contained in prior deeds. |
| No provision. | No provision. | Provides that omission of a discriminatory restrictive covenant from a new deed does not affect the validity of the deed and prohibits county recorders from refusing to record such a deed due to that omission. |
| LOCCD19 Open meetings law extension | | |
| | Section: 610.115, 610.116 | |
| No provision. | Extends the temporary authorization for public bodies to meet via electronic technology currently scheduled to expire on July 1, 2021 instead to December 31, 2021. | No provision. |
| | Fiscal effect: None. | |

| Local Government Provisions | | Main Operating Appropriations Bi H.B. 11 |
|---|---|--|
| Executive | As Passed By House | In Senate Finance |
| LOCCD26 Actuarial study and report of F | Post-Traumatic Stress Fund | |
| | | Section: 610.117, 610.118 |
| No provision. | No provision. | Permits the Board of Trustees of the Ohio Police and Fire Pension Fund to use its actuary or, as under current law, a disinterested third-party actuary to perform an actuarial valuation and report required by continuing law related to the funding requirements of the State Post-Traumatic Stress Fund. |
| No provision. | No provision. | Extends the due date for the actuarial study and report from October 1, 2021, to December 15, 2021. |
| | | Fiscal effect: None. The requirements related to the actuarial study and funding are included in H.B. 308 of the 133rd General Assembly. |
| LOCCD20 Eminent domain for recreation | nal trails | |
| | Section: 715.05 | |
| No provision. | Prohibits park districts in counties with a 220,000 and 240,000 (Lake and Mahoning to the 2010 Census) from using eminent appropriate property for recreational trait this prohibition expires on July 1, 2026. | g counties according domain to |

| Local Government Provisions H.1 | | |
|--|--|---|
| Executive | As Passed By House | In Senate Finance |
| AGOCD33 Elimination of public record exemption | | |
| | | R.C. 149.43 |
| No provision. | No provision. | Removes an exemption from the Public Records Law for the telephone number of a victim of crime, a witness to a crime, or a party to a motor vehicle accident, making that information a public record on or after 30 days from the crime or accident. |
| | | Fiscal effect: Costs to the state and political subdivisions, in particular the Ohio State Highway Patrol and local law enforcement agencies, will depend on the amount of work required to implement and maintain the required changes to their public records procedures. |
| AGOCD29 Foreclosure sale reports to the Attorney General | | |
| | R.C. 2329.312 | R.C. 2329.312 |
| (1) No provision. | (1) Specifies that the reports submitted to the Attorney General by officers conducting residential property foreclosure sales must contain information of whether the officer met certain deadlines related to sale procedures. | (1) Same as the House. |
| (2) No provision. | (2) Replaces the requirement that the Attorney General establish and maintain a public database of information included in foreclosure sale reports with a requirement that the information be made publicly available. | (2) Same as the House. |
| (3) No provision. | (3) Makes technical changes. | (3) Same as the House. |
| | Fiscal effect: Potential minimal increase in administrative costs for levying officers, generally sheriffs, to comply with modified reporting requirements. Appears to codify current practice for the Attorney General. | Fiscal effect: Same as the House. |

| Local Government Provisions H.B. 11 | | |
|---|--|--|
| Executive | As Passed By House | In Senate Finance |
| (7) Requires, if the casino winner owes the state and a political subdivision, that the amount owed to the state must be satisfied first, except that any personal liabilities for corporate tax debts have first priority. | (7) Same as the Executive. | (7) Same as the Executive. |
| Fiscal effect: Potential minimal costs for the state. Potential increase in the amount of debt collected for the state and political subdivisions. | Fiscal effect: Same as the Executive. | Fiscal effect: Same as the Executive. |
| AGOCD30 Funding of annual training of peace officers and trooper | S | |
| | Section: 701.70, 221.30 | Section: 701.70, 221.30 |
| (1) No provision. | (1) Requires the Attorney General to create and administer a one-year pilot program for state funding of the training of peace officers and troopers that is required under R.C. 109.803. Specifies that the pilot program is the only state funding that will be provided in calendar year 2022 for the training of such peace officers and troopers that is required under that section. | (1) Same as the House. |
| (2) No provision. | (2) Requires new DPF Fund 5XZO appropriation item 055664, Law Enforcement Reimbursement Training Pilot Program, to be used by the Attorney General for the pilot program. Permits the Attorney General to use up to \$25,000 for administrative expenses associated with the program. | (2) Same as the House, but replaces DPF Fund 5XZ0 appropriation item 055664, Law Enforcement Reimbursement Training Pilot Program, with GRF appropriation item 055509, Law Enforcement Reimbursement Training Pilot Program. |
| (3) No provision. | (3) Reappropriates, with Controlling Board approval, the unexpended, unencumbered balance of appropriation item 055664, Law Enforcement Reimbursement Training Pilot Program, at the end of fiscal year 2022 for the same purpose in fiscal year 2023. | (3) Same as the House, but changes referenced appropriation item from 055664, Law Enforcement Reimbursement Training Pilot Program, to 055509, Law Enforcement Reimbursement Training Pilot Program. |
| (4) No provision. | (4) Requires the Attorney General, not later than January 1, 2022, to create the pilot program and specifies that it is to be a one-year program, to be in existence for calendar year | (4) Same as the House. |

| Local Government Provisions | | Main Operating Appropriations Bill H.B. 110 |
|------------------------------------|---|--|
| Executive | As Passed By House | In Senate Finance |
| | 2022. | |
| (5) No provision. | (5) Requires each law enforcement agency, not later than December 2, 2021, to certify to the Attorney General the total of all salaries to be paid in calendar year 2022 to officers or troopers of the agency who will receive that training in calendar year 2022 and the hourly rate of pay for each of those officers and troopers. | (5) Same as the House. |
| (6) No provision. | (6) Requires the Attorney General, not later than January 1, 2022, to begin the operation of the pilot program. Requires the Attorney General, prior to that date, to establish rules, under R.C. section 111.15, for the operation and administration. | (6) Same as the House. |
| (7) No provision. | (7) Requires the Attorney General to pay each law enforcement agency an amount to cover up to 50% of the total cost of the salaries of the officers or troopers of the agency to be paid to officers or troopers who will receive that training in calendar year 2022, as certified by the agency, during the period of the training. | (7) Same as the House. |
| (8) No provision. | (8) Specifies that the amounts to be paid cover only the period during which the officers or troopers are receiving that training and not exceed an amount covering twenty-four hours of the training. | (8) Same as the House. |
| (9) No provision. | (9) Provides that, if the amount of the money appropriated to the Attorney General is insufficient to pay 50% of the total cost of the salaries of the peace officers or troopers of all law enforcement agencies to be paid in calendar year 2022 to officers or troopers who will receive that training in calendar year 2022, the amount to be paid to each such agency is reduced proportionately so that each agency is paid an equal percentage of its cost in the year for the training. | (9) Same as the House. |

| Local Government Provisions | | Main Operating Appropriations Bill | |
|------------------------------------|--------------------------------|--|--|
| Executive | As Passed By House | H.B. 110 In Senate Finance | |
| CIVCD1 Use of medical marijuana in | violation of employer's policy | | |
| | | R.C. 3796.28 | |
| No provision. | No provision. | Provides that an employer does not violate the Ohio Civil Rights Law when the employer takes an adverse employment action against a person who uses medical marijuana in contravention of a workplace policy regulating medical marijuana use. | |
| | | Fiscal effect: Potential savings effect for state and political subdivisions, as the provision may reduce filings with the Ohio Civil Rights Commission or courts of common pleas. | |

LSC | 20

| Local Government Provisions H.E. | | |
|--|---|---|
| Executive | As Passed By House | In Senate Finance |
| COMCD9 Plumbing inspector certification | | |
| R.C. 3703.01, conforming change in R.C. 3703.03 | R.C. 3703.01, conforming change in R.C. 3703.03 | R.C. 3703.01, conforming change in R.C. 3703.03 |
| Removes certification of plumbing inspectors from COM's Division of Industrial Compliance's responsibility and authority but retains the Board of Building Standard's plumbing inspector certification. | Same as the Executive. | Same as the Executive. |
| Eliminates prohibitions on boards of health that do not employ certified plumbing inspectors from inspecting plumbing or collecting fees for inspecting plumbing and contracting with other boards of health to inspect plumbing on their behalf. | Same as the Executive. | Same as the Executive. |
| Fiscal effect: Eliminating the double certification for some plumbing inspectors will reduce revenue deposited into the Industrial Compliance Operating Fund (Fund 5560). The certification fee is \$100 for the initial certification and \$60 for the renewal certification. | Fiscal effect: Same as the Executive. | Fiscal effect: Same as the Executive. |

| Local Government Provisions | | Main Operating Appropriations Bill H.B. 110 |
|--|---|--|
| Executive | As Passed By House | In Senate Finance |
| DEVCD40 Ohio Residential Broadband Expansion Grant Program | | |
| | R.C. 122.401, 122.40, 122.403 through 122.4077; 133.13, 188.01 through 188.30; 303.251, 505.881, and 727.01; 4926.01 through 4926.60 | |
| No provision. | Does the following to address broadband expansion and infrastructure (these provisions are identical to those in H.B. 2 of the 134th G.A., As Passed by the House. See the LSC Bill Analysis for more details of these provisions at https://www.legislature.ohio.gov/legislation/legislation-documents?id=GA134-HB-2). | No provision. |
| (1) No provision. | (1) Creates the Ohio Residential Broadband Expansion Grant Program and the Ohio Broadband Expansion Program Authority to award program grants to fund the construction of broadband projects in unserved areas of the state. | (1) No provision. |
| (2) No provision. | (2) Requires DEV to adopt rules for the grant program including rules for an application form and application procedures and procedures for periodic program grant disbursements. Requires DEV, in consultation with the Authority, to establish a weighted scoring system to evaluate and select applications for program grants and make it available on its website. | (2) No provision. |
| (3) No provision. | (3) Requires DEV to publish certain grant program and application information on its website. Requires DEV to accept, receive, and review applications for program grants and send completed applications to the Authority for review and award of program grant money for eligible projects. | (3) No provision. |
| (4) No provision. | (4) Requires up to 30% of the program grant to be disbursed before project construction begins, up to 60% of the program grant to be disbursed periodically over the course of the project construction according to DEV rules, and the | (4) No provision. |

| Local Government Provisions | | | Main Operating Appropriations Bill H.B. 110 |
|------------------------------------|---|--------------------|--|
| Executive | As Passed By House | In Senate Finance | 11/2/110 |
| | remaining portion to be disbursed not later than 60 days after notification that construction is complete. | | |
| (5) No provision. | (5) Requires a broadband provider to construct last mile broadband infrastructure after receiving a program grant award. | (5) No provision. | |
| (6) No provision. | (6) Permits a board of county commissioners, by resolution, to request DEV to solicit applications from broadband providers for program grants for eligible projects in the municipal corporations and townships of the county. | (6) No provision. | |
| (7) No provision. | (7) Permits a challenging provider to challenge, in writing, all or part of a completed application for a program grant not later than 65 days (or longer if an extension is granted) after the close of the submission period (or extension period). | (7) No provision. | |
| (8) No provision. | (8) Permits a broadband provider to enter into an arrangement to designate video service provider fees remitted by the provider for contribution towards an eligible project's broadband funding gap under certain | (8) No provision. | |
| (9) No provision. | (9) Permits a municipal corporation, county, or township to fund a portion of the broadband funding gap for an eligible project through a property tax assessment made by the municipal corporation, county, or township. | (9) No provision. | |
| (10) No provision. | (10) Permits DEV to withhold payments for failure to meet at least the minimum broadband service speeds required under the bill until the speeds are achieved. Permits DEV to require the broadband provider to refund state and local government funds if the provider fails to cure the identified noncompliance. | (10) No provision. | |
| (11) No provision. | (11) Requires each broadband provider that receives a program grant to submit progress and certain other reports. | (11) No provision. | |

| Local Government Provisions | | Main Operating Appropriations Bill H.B. 110 |
|------------------------------------|--|--|
| Executive | As Passed By House | In Senate Finance |
| (12) No provision. | (12) Requires the Authority to complete an annual report that evaluates the grant program's success on DEV's website and to provide the report to the Governor and the General Assembly by December 1 each year. | (12) No provision. |
| (13) No provision. | (13) Expands the use of electric cooperative easements for the provision of broadband service and creates a process for addressing damages to servient estates (land burdened by an easement). | (13) No provision. |
| (14) No provision. | (14) Establishes a process for granting broadband providers, telecommunication service providers, video service providers, and wireless service providers access to electric cooperatives pole facilities through pole attachments. | (14) No provision. |
| | Fiscal effect: The bill appropriates \$170.0 million in FY 2022 and \$20.0 million in FY 2023 under DPF Ohio Residential Broadband Expansion Grant Program Fund (Fund 5XU0) line item 195567, Residential Broadband Expansion Grants, to awards grants under the Ohio Residential Broadband Expansion Grant Program. See also DEVCD41 and OBMCD39. | |

| Local Government Provisions Main Operating Appropriation H. | | |
|---|--|---|
| Executive | As Passed By House | In Senate Finance |
| DOHCD40 City health districts | | |
| | R.C. 3709.01, 3709.012, 3709.052, 3709.06, 3709.07 | R.C. 3701.13, 3709.012, 3709.052, 3709.06, 3709.07 |
| No provision. | Requires each city with a population less than 50,000 served by a board of health of a city health district to complete a study evaluating the efficiency and effectiveness of merging with the general health district that includes the city for the administration of health affairs in the merged general health district (see DOHCD10 for related earmark). | Same as the House. |
| No provision. | Requires the Director of Health, in consultation with the Auditor of State, to develop criteria to be used in determining whether a merger is advisable and requires the city to conduct its evaluation using the developed criteria. | Same as the House. |
| No provision. | Requires the city's chief executive, if the study indicates that a merger is advisable, to enter into a contract with the district advisory council for the general health district that includes the city for the administration of health affairs in the merged general health district, unless the applicable district advisory council for the general health district delays the merger for good cause. | Same as the House. |
| | Fiscal effect: ODH and the Auditor of State may experience an increase in administrative costs. Costs to conduct the studies may be covered under a \$6.0 million earmark in FY 2022 from GRF appropriation item 440413, Local Health Departments (see DOHCD10). Any other impacts will depend on the study results. | Fiscal effect: Affected local health departments will experience an increase in costs to conduct the studies. The cost of these studies is unknown at this time. Any other impacts will depend on the study results. Additionally, ODH and the Auditor of State may experience an increase in administrative costs. |
| DOHCD9 Combined health district property tax authority | | |
| R.C. 3709.291 | | R.C. 3709.291 |
| Authorizes combined health districts to levy property tax, with voter approval, for operating expenses. | No provision. | Same as the Executive. |

| Local Government Provisions | | Main Operating Appropriations Bill H.B. 110 |
|--|--------------------|--|
| Executive | As Passed By House | In Senate Finance |
| Fiscal effect: Potential increase in administrative costs to county boards of elections, as well as a potential gain in tax revenue for boards of health of a combined health district if a new tax levy is approved. According to ODH, this could facilitate local health district mergers. | | Fiscal effect: Same as the Executive. |

| Local Government Provisions | | Main Operating Appropriations Bill H.B. 110 |
|--|-----------------------------------|--|
| Executive | As Passed By House | In Senate Finance |
| JFSCD40 Voluntary participation agreements | | |
| R.C. 5101.1412, 2151.451-2151.453 | R.C. 5101.1412, 2151.451-2151.453 | R.C. 5101.1412, 2151.451-2151.453 |
| Rewords the mandate for ODJFS or its representative to seek judicial determination regarding an emancipated young adult's (EYA's) best interest to "petition the court for and obtain a judicial determination," rather than "seek approval from the court." | Same as the Executive. | Same as the Executive. |
| Explicitly associates petitioning and obtaining that determination with maintaining the EYA's Title IV-E eligibility. | Same as the Executive. | Same as the Executive. |
| Changes the annual court determination requirement regarding reasonable efforts to finalize a permanency plan for EYA independence to remove the focus on a permanency plan that addresses only ODJFS' or its representative's efforts to prepare the EYA for independence. | Same as the Executive. | Same as the Executive. |
| Eliminates the remedy that an EYA loses eligibility for continued care and placement with ODJFS or its representative under a voluntary participation agreement (VPA) if a court determines 180 days after the VPA becomes effective that the placement does not serve the person's best interest. | Same as the Executive. | Same as the Executive. |
| Requires federal payments for foster care be suspended if the best interest and reasonable efforts determinations are not timely made. | Same as the Executive. | Same as the Executive. |
| Revises elements of the permanency plan determination that a court must make, as follows: | Same as the Executive. | Same as the Executive. |
| (1) 12 months after the VPA's effective date (instead of 12 months after the date it is signed as current law states). | (1) Same as the Executive. | (1) Same as the Executive. |

| Local Government Provisions | | Main Operating Appropriations Bill H.B. 110 |
|--|---------------------------------------|--|
| Executive | As Passed By House | In Senate Finance |
| (2) At least once every 12 months after the first determination, rather than simply "annually." | (2) Same as the Executive. | (2) Same as the Executive. |
| (3) Characterizes the determination as concerning that ODJFS or its representative made reasonable efforts (instead of the passive "whether reasonable efforts have been made" as current law states) to finalize a permanency plan to prepare the EYA for independence. | (3) Same as the Executive. | (3) Same as the Executive. |
| Expands the juvenile courts that may exercise jurisdiction over an EYA receiving federal foster care payments to include the court of the county the EYA resided in when the EYA's custody, planned permanent living arrangement, or care and placement terminated (current law only gives jurisdiction to the juvenile court of the county the EYA resides in). | Same as the Executive. | Same as the Executive. |
| Fiscal effect: Requires federal payments for foster care be suspended if the best interest and reasonable efforts determinations are not timely made. These changes will allow an EYA to continue to receive funds in cases where the best interest ruling is not received in a timely manner. Currently, if the ruling is not received in time, the EYA is removed from the program and loses title-IV eligibility. This change would allow the EYA to remain in the program and receive support through GRF. | Fiscal effect: Same as the Executive. | Fiscal effect: Same as the Executive. |
| JFSCD63 Local workforce development board meetings | | |
| No provision. | No provision. | R.C. 6301.06 Allows local workforce development boards to hold meetings by interactive video conference or teleconference (states a preference for interactive video conference). |
| No provision. | No provision. | Requires a board that wishes to hold meetings by video conference or teleconference to adopt rules that require the |

| Local Government Provisions | rovisions H.B. | |
|------------------------------------|--------------------|--|
| Executive | As Passed By House | In Senate Finance |
| | | meetings to be conducted in a certain manner and establish a minimum number of members who must be physically present at the primary meeting location. |
| | | Fiscal effect: Possible savings for local workforce development boards if teleconference results in savings (e.g. travel costs). |

| Local Government Provisions Main Operating Appropriations Bill H.B. 110 | | | | |
|---|--|---|--|--|
| Executive | As Passed By House | In Senate Finance | | |
| DRCCD14 Removing outdated law about the Ohio River Valley Facility | | | | |
| | R.C. 307.39, 341.12, 341.121 (repealed) | R.C. 307.39, 341.12, 341.121 (repealed) | | |
| No provision. | Removes outdated provisions of the Revised Code that allowed Lawrence County to place inmates in the Ohio River Valley Facility. | Same as the House. | | |
| | Fiscal effect: None. | Fiscal effect: Same as the House. | | |
| DRCCD8 Community control sanctions, judicial release, and 80% release mechanism | | | | |
| R.C. 2929.15, 2929.20, 2929.25, 2967.19 | | | | |
| (1) Modifies the existing authorized duration of community control sanctions a court is permitted to impose on an offender for a felony from a maximum of five years for all felonies to: | (1) No provision. | (1) No provision. | | |
| (a) Maximum of five years for first, second, and third degree felonies and felony sex offenses; | (a) No provision. | (a) No provision. | | |
| (b) Maximum of three years for fourth degree felonies that are not felony sex offenses; and | (b) No provision. | (b) No provision. | | |
| (c) Maximum of two years for fifth degree felonies that are not felony sex offenses. | (c) No provision. | (c) No provision. | | |
| (2) Modifies the existing authorized duration of community control sanctions a court is permitted to impose on an offender for a misdemeanor from a maximum of five years to a maximum of two years. | (2) No provision. | (2) No provision. | | |
| (3) Modifies the authorized duration of community control sanctions which a court is permitted to impose on a prisoner released on judicial release or under the 80% release mechanism from a maximum of five years for all offenders to: | (3) No provision. | (3) No provision. | | |

| Local Government Provisions | | | Main Operating Appropriations Bill H.B. 110 |
|---|--------------------|-------------------|--|
| Executive | As Passed By House | In Senate Finance | |
| (a) Maximum of five years if the most serious offense from which the release is granted is a first, second, or third degree felony or a felony sex offense; | (a) No provision. | (a) No provision. | |
| (b) Maximum of three years if the most serious offense from which the release is granted is a fourth degree felony that is not a felony sex offense; and | (b) No provision. | (b) No provision. | |
| (c) Two years if the most serious offense from which release is granted is a fifth degree felony that is not a felony sex offense. | (c) No provision. | (c) No provision. | |
| Fiscal effect: Administrative cost savings for the state's Adult Parole Authority and county probation departments, as their respective monthly community supervision caseloads will be reduced to some degree. | | | |

| Local Gov | vernment Provisions | | Main Operating Appropriations Bil H.B. 110 |
|---|--|--|---|
| Executive | | As Passed By House | In Senate Finance |
| | | personal property used by the energy facility. If the nameplate capacity of a qualified energy project is 20 megawatts (MW) or greater, the local board of county commissioners must approve its tax-exempt status. In return for this approval, the owner or lessee of an energy project must make a payment in lieu of taxes (or "PILOT") ranging between \$6,000 and \$9,000 per each MW of nameplate capacity. | |
| Other Taxat | tion Provisions | | |
| TAXCD13 | Wireless 9-1-1 Government Assistance Fund distribution | 1 | |
| R.C. | 128.55 | R.C. 128.55 | R.C. 128.55 |
| Commission Assistance in the same correspon of the curr on the same | the monthly disbursements made by the Tax oner from the Wireless 9-1-1 Government e Fund (Fund 7093) to county treasurers to be made ne proportion distributed to that county in the ading month of the previous calendar year, instead trent law disbursements requirement that is based me amounts disbursed in the corresponding a 2013 made by the Public Utilities Commission of CO). | Same as the Executive. | Same as the Executive. |
| timing of fi distributed distribution reducing e correspon Fund 7093 | any shortfall in distributions resulting from the funds received in a previous month to be ed in the following month. (Under current law, the on requirement due to insufficient funds is based on each county's share in proportion to the adding month in 2013 until the amount available in 3 is allocated, and such shortfalls must be remedied owing month.) | Same as the Executive. | Same as the Executive. |
| | ect: None. Total disbursements to counties in year (CY) 2020 were the same as the distributions | Fiscal effect: Same as the Executive. | Fiscal effect: Same as the Executive. |
| | . D. J 1 O. C. | ICCL | 00° CD 1 1D 0 |

| Local Government Provisions | | Main Operating Appropriations Bi H.B. 11 | |
|--|---|---|--|
| Executive | As Passed By House | In Senate Finance | |
| made by PUCO in CY 2013. | | | |
| TAXCD42 Delinquent municipal income tax collection: federa | l Treasury Offset Program | | |
| | R.C. 131.025 | R.C. 131.025 | |
| No provision. | Requires the Attorney General to participate in the federal Treasury Offset Program (TOP) for the collection of past due municipal income taxes to the extent that such taxes qualify for the program. | Same as the House. | |
| No provision. | Specifies that the Attorney General is the tax administrator with respect to past due municipal income taxes that are certified to the Attorney General for collection solely for the purpose of qualifying for the TOP. | Same as the House. | |
| | Fiscal effect: TOP is a program in which state and federal tax administrators cooperate to collect delinquent taxes. Adding municipal income taxes to the program will increase collections, but likely not by a substantial amount; participation is voluntary for municipalities. Since the state already participates in TOP, additional costs, including AGO costs, would be minimal. | Fiscal effect: Same as the House. | |
| TAXCD14 Estate tax filing and fees | | | |
| R.C. 319.54, 321.27, 5731.21, 5731.24, 5731.28, and 5731.41 | R.C. 319.54, 321.27, 5731.21, 5731.24, 5731.28, and 5731.41 | R.C. 319.54, 321.27, 5731.21, 5731.24, 5731.28, and 5731.41 | |
| Makes administrative changes to the repealed estate tax as the fees allowed to officials and agents for collecting the tax Provides that no estate tax is due for property first discovered after December 31, 2021. (The state estate tax was repealed on January 1, 2013, but the tax continues to apply to newly-discovered property of decedents who died before that date.) | ix. | Same as the Executive. | |
| Fiscal effect: Potential revenue loss is likely negligible; | Fiscal effect: Same as the Executive. | Fiscal effect: Same as the Executive. | |
| agislativa Padgat Office | I CC o= | Office of Bessevels and Dreft | |

| Local Government Provisions | | | Main Operating Appropriations Bill |
|--|--------------------|-------------------|------------------------------------|
| Local Government Provisions | | | H.B. 110 |
| Executive | As Passed By House | In Senate Finance | |
| | | | |
| estate tax receipts continue to trickle in since the tax was | | | |
| repealed. In FY 2020, receipts were about \$0.5 million; | | | |
| about \$0.1 million was deposited into the GRF and \$0.4 | | | |
| million was the share to local governments. | | | |